G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

L. R. 4 1890-91

1891-92

N1

(Land Rev)

File No. 10

Serial Nos. 1 – 6

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

---

(15)

Serial Nos. 1—6

Part B for

SUBJECT.

Disposal of Jaffi Inam Land of Honnenhalli Bringipalle Tank

Indexed

8

Countermarked

MHD

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

1891 OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
1891 5630
P.16 12 SEP. 91
M 257.

(1)

Submitted to the Council -
24/11/91 ASR

(Register not found)

Submitted
to Hr Council -
11/3/92

From
The Supdt of Inam Settlt.
No 1792
To
The Chief Secretary
to the Government
of Mysore
Dated 10th
Recd 11th
Septr 1891

To Re-issue No 1445 of 1891-92 dated 13/3/92
To Letter No 2572 of 1891-92 dated 15/12/91 same P.4

Encls: Nil (as per Serishta patta)
No 30 of 1891-92

Solicits instructions as to whether the Jagir Inam land of Hanumanahally Village (Bowringpet Taluk) mentioned within can be brought on the Inam Register & settled on its merits

C Venkatesiah
Patel & extract of Land
Lt 3 of 1891 (Inam Rees)

AS
12/9/91

Rees 12-9-91.

Issued to Patel
12/9/91

(1)

No. 30

Inam Supt^ts Office
Bangalore 9/10th September 1891

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Government
of Mysore

Sir,

In forwarding Memo No. 6 dated the 28th ultimo, together with the correspondence and documents quoted in paras 1 and 2 thereof, connected with a Japti Inam land in Honnenahally Village, Bowringpet Taluk, I have the honor to solicit the instructions of Government as to whether the said land can be brought on the Inam Register and settled on its merits, for the reasons assigned in the Memo.

2. It is requested that the enclosures may kindly be returned when no longer required.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obed^t Servt.

A Stuart

86

No 6.

(1/2)

Memo.

1. Vide the correspondence ending with — Bowringpett Talak Amildar's report No 151/130 dated 17th June 1891.

2. One Jamaluddin of Honnenahalli village, Kasba hobli, Bowringpett Talak, complains that his marginally noted Durga manya and Kote manya lands which were granted to his forefathers for the construction of a Fort and formation of the village, at their own cost, and which were resumed by Dewan Purniya in 1800-1 and enjoyed on payment of Kanike Rs: 9-2-8 = 3-1-8, have been fully assessed at the Survey settlement on the ground that they were not brought within the scope of Inam Enquiry and confirmed, and requests their confirmation, as Inam. He also produced the following documents in support of his claim.

1 Patta granted by Desa Kulakarni and Desai to Gullam Hussen dated in 1741 granting, as per Sirkar order, the Durga manya and Kote manya for his having constructed a Fort and formed a village at his own cost.

1 Sanad given by Subedar of Gyakal to Gulam Hussen Saheb of 1741 to the same effect.

1. Authenticated copy of Huzur Inam Jhadati account of the year Rowdri (1801), in which the

x Nakhar Inoji No 32. Extent acres 15-16-8 1-0-8 Kharab 28-1-2. 04-0-0 Assar 14-— Survey 36-10-1 — 31-15-20 22-0-0 25-21-36-0-0

Kanikeerapalli Murugiondlu No 44 4-12-8 — 18-14-6

the above Inams are entered as Jofted.

1. Taked, issued as per Major Buddisorde No 160 dated 16th June 1841, by Amildar to Shekdar No 63 dated 27th August 1841 to continue till further order, the above mentioned Jofti Inam lands to Gulam Ryder, the grandson of the original grantee, on payment of P. 7-8 3-1-8 as per Inamal.

3. From the documents quoted above, it is clear that the Inams were duly granted in 1741 and enjoyed till 1801 when Dewan Purniya resumed them and that the parties were enjoying them since then on payment of the Kanike mentioned in the preceding para till the survey settlement, when they were fully assessed, as the existence thereof was not brought to notice by the Taluk authorities at the time of Inam settlement.

4. In 1841, the late Bangalore Division Supt. enquired into the tenure and passed orders, after remitting P. 7-8 6-5-0, to continue the same as per Inamal, on the payment of P. 7-8 3-1-8 (Vide his order No 160 dated 16th June 1841)

5. The Amildar, in his Report-Dis No 995 dated 20th October 1890, states that 380 although the payment on these lands, Viz: Rs: 9-2-8, was entered in the Revenue accounts under the head of Kandayam, yet it may be taken as Jodi or Kanike on the above

Jofti

Jafti Inam.

6. Under the above circumstances the lands in question will have to be brought on the Inam Register and dealt with under the authority quoted in paras 1 and 3 of Inam Precis No. 19, for which the sanction of Govern-ment is solicited.

Yheat pt. 42

Supdt of Inam Settls

28

Submitted in Con-tinuation of the above—

In reply to enquiry made the Supdt. of Inam Settls. states—

(1) That the Inam Survey settle-ment of Honen Halli took place in ——— 1869

(2) Do: survey settle-ment ——— 1890

Vide Sur & Inam Supdts docket No: 2 & 4 April 1892

N
12/4/92

× × × × ×

GOVERNMENT PRINTER

Inam lands which have been partially or wholly resumed by Dewan Purnaiya, but which are still enjoyed free of rent should be confirmed on ½ quit-rent, on the xafted portion, plus ⅛ quit-rent, on the jari or unresumed part, the circumstances connected with each case being fully reported on the register.

No. 19. Resumed Inams. Secretary's letter No. 5161 dated 9th January 1871. Inam Commissioner's Circular No. 25 dated 27th March 1871.

2. This rule does not apply to resumed lands already paying full assessment.

Inam Commissioner's Circular No. 25 dated 27th March 1871.

—Do—

3. When the resumed inams already bear a Kanike or jodi, the quit-rent should be charged upon the difference, as per Proceedings No. 9 of the 9th June 1868, (modified by Secretary's No. 5372-16, dated 21st December 1872.

para 3, to Iwan.

Commissioner's address

× × × × ×

6.5.

(Register not found)

From the same Dept. 4/4/1925

The Supdt of Inam Settls:

Dt 16th March.

R
14459
49.

No: 14459
~~dispatched 16th~~

His letter no: 309
15th Sept last.

With reference to the above I have the honor to inquire when the Inam & Hissa Settlements of Hunnemahalli, Bommenahalli, respectively Mugpet Talluk, took place.

B.O.

Jun

Draft under Council order for Chairman.

Further information received & noted at foot of this Inam Supt's Memo

Submitted to the Council

Mysore 12/4

12/4/92.

There was a question as to whether we allowed the Inamdar to deal with the Inam under the authority quoted from attic there was great delay on the part of the Inamdar in making his claim — Put up Papers

12/5

13/4/92 Submitted Reps. sending with their Office No: 6304/31. dated 20.8.91. — put up.

15/4/92.

THE 139 6 - APR. 92 DEWAN OF MYSORE

3

189

From

The Supt of Inam Settlts:

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore

Dated 4th April

Despd 6th

Enclosure etc.

No 2 of 1892-93.

His Docket No 14,459/49.

dated the 14th ultimo.

In reply to the above, has the honor to state that the Inam and the Survey settlements of Honnénahalli Village, Bowringpet Taluk, took place in 1869 and 1890 respectively.

I am

Supt of Inam Settlts.

Ref. Mysore 6/4

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892.

(4)

From The Chief Secretary to the Govt of Madras

To The Supdt of Inam Settlements

Dated 25th Aug 92

Despatched

Received 7th May

Enclosures No.

General No. 2542 R.

No 94

Subject Forwarding the Patta Granted by the Inam Settlement Officer authorizing the Inamdar of Hommenhally to bring the Inam village of Hommenhally, on the Inam Register & settle it on its merits

(4)

Read.-

Letter from the Supt of Inam Setts dy 10th Sept 1891, No 30.

Order thereon. No 2542 R.
1 P No 4.

Under the circumstances stated in the memo which accompanied the letter read above, the Govt are pleased to authorize the Inam Supt to bring the case therein referred to on the Inam Register and settle it on its merits under the rules.

7/5792

Chief Secretary.

To

The Supt of Inam Setts

No 11

7/5/92

OFFICE OF THE
DEWAN OF MYSORE
25 MAY 92

2257
P.6
35

18

(5)

From

The Supdt of Inam Settles.

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of
Mysore

No. 4970/12 dated 11/5/92

Dated 25
Recd 25 May

Enclosure no

To No 10 of 1892-93

He has the honor to request that the Chief Secretary will be so good as to direct the return of the Enclosures of this Office letter No. 30 dated 10th September 1891, to enable the undersigned to register the case in question.

Sir

Submitted to the Commr Cen on 26/5/92 with letter No. 2257 4/5/92 from the Inam Superintendent

Supdt of Inam Settles.

To Record

Returned 27/5/92

4
26-5-92

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892.

(6)

From The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

To The Superintendent of Inam Settlements

Dated

Despatched 18 June

Received

Enclosures No. one file

General No. 4970 S.

p No 12

His docket No. 10 of 25th May/92

~~Last~~

As requested in the above has the honor to return the enclosures of his letter No. 30 dated 20th September 1891 in re the Jafti Inam land of Honnenhalli village in Bowringpet Taluk

By order

Draft

Mark 1876

for Chief Secretary

17/6/92